

JOSEPH T. MCNALLY  
Acting United States Attorney  
DAVID T. RYAN  
Assistant United States Attorney  
Chief, National Security Division  
COLIN S. SCOTT (Cal. Bar No. 318555)  
AMANDA B. ELBOGEN (Cal. Bar No. 332505)  
Assistant United States Attorney  
Terrorism and Export Crimes Section  
1200 United States Courthouse  
312 North Spring Street  
Los Angeles, California 90012  
Telephone: (213) 894-3159/5748  
Facsimile: (213) 894-0141  
E-mail: colin.scott@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

Zheng et al.,

Defendant.

No. CR 24-00761

[PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING TRIAL  
DATE AND FINDINGS REGARDING  
EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS PURSUANT  
TO SPEEDY TRIAL ACT

**[PROPOSED] TRIAL DATE: [December  
8, 2025]**

The Court has read and considered the Stipulation Regarding Request for (1) Continuance of Trial Date and (2) Findings of Excludable Time Periods Pursuant to Speedy Trial Act, filed by the parties in this matter on February 14, 2025. The Court hereby finds that the Stipulation, which this Court incorporates by reference into this Order, demonstrates facts that support a continuance of the trial date in this matter, and provides good cause for a finding of excludable time pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161.

1 The Court further finds that: (i) the ends of justice served by  
2 the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and  
3 defendant in a speedy trial; (ii) failure to grant the continuance  
4 would be likely to make a continuation of the proceeding impossible,  
5 or result in a miscarriage of justice; (iii) failure to grant the  
6 continuance would unreasonably deny defendant continuity of counsel  
7 and would deny defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for  
8 effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due  
9 diligence; and (iv) the case is so unusual and so complex, due to the  
10 nature of the prosecution and the number of defendants, that it is  
11 unreasonable to expect preparation for pre-trial proceedings or for  
12 the trial itself within the time limits established by the Speedy  
13 Trial Act.

14 THEREFORE, FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN:

15 1. The trial in this matter is continued from March 18, 2025  
16 to December 8, 2025.

17 2. The time period of March 18, 2025 to December 8, 2025,  
18 inclusive, is excluded in computing the time within which the trial  
19 must commence, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A), (h)(7)(B)(i),  
20 , (B)(iv), and (h)(7)(B)(ii).

21 3. Defendants shall appear in Courtroom 9A of the Federal  
22 Courthouse, 350 W. 1st Street, Los Angeles, California on December 8,  
23 2025 at 8:30 a.m.

24 4. Nothing in this Order shall preclude a finding that other  
25 provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time  
26 periods are excluded from the period within which trial must  
27 commence. Moreover, the same provisions and/or other provisions of  
28 the Speedy Trial Act may in the future authorize the exclusion of

1 additional time periods from the period within which trial must  
2 commence.

3 IT IS SO ORDERED.

4  
5 \_\_\_\_\_  
6 DATE

\_\_\_\_\_   
HONORABLE PERCY ANDERSON  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

7  
8  
9 Presented by:

10 \_\_\_\_\_  
11 /s/  
COLIN S. SCOTT  
Assistant United States Attorney